MDR: M4-02-4526-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution-General</u>, and 133.307, titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute</u>, a review was conducted by the Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above. This dispute was received on 07/15/2002.

I. DISPUTE

Whether there should be additional reimbursement for Ambulatory Surgical Center care for 11/14/2001.

II. RATIONALE

The requestor submitted an EOB with the denial code of "M-Fair and Reasonable Reimbursement". Ambulatory Surgical Center care is not covered by the *Medical Fee Guideline* and shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate.

The respondent asserts in their methodology that they have paid a fair and reasonable reimbursement based on statistical studies of national data performed by ____. In this dispute ____ took the CPT code used by the surgeon (20680) and applied its methodology to determine fair and reasonable. However, based on UB-92s and corresponding EOBs, charges were for a facility fee and does not identify the CPT code referenced by ____. Documentation submitted by ____ does not support that the charges are for similar treatment in the same geographical area to an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living.

The requestor billed \$4,142.07 for the Ambulatory Surgical Center care; the respondent paid \$643.50 leaving a balance of \$3,498.57. Rule 133.307(g)(3)(D) requires the requestor to discuss, demonstrate, and justify that the payment amount being sought is fair and reasonable.

The requestor submitted redacted EOBs that indicate that they have accepted reimbursements for similar treatment in the same geographical area to an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living, from 85% to 100% of their billed charges. On this basis reimbursement is recommended at 85% of billed charges less the amount paid. (85% of \$4,142.07 = \$3,520.76- \$643.50 already paid = \$2,877.26 for additional reimbursement).

Therefore, additional reimbursement is recommended.

III. DECISION & ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services within this request, the Division has determined that the requestor **is** entitled to additional reimbursement for Ambulatory Surgical Care in the amount of **\$2,877.26.** Pursuant to Sections 402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 the Division hereby ORDERS the Respondent to remit **\$2,877.26** plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20 days receipt of this Order.

The above Decision and Order are hereby issued this 11th day of September 2003.

Michael Bucklin Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division Roy Lewis, Supervisor Medical Dispute Resolution Medical Review Division

MB/mb RL/mb